PICKUP STUDIOS
STRATEGY & INNOVATION

FOUNDERS FIELD NOTES

№ 02

VOLUME I · JULY 2026 FIELD NOTE

The Brokerage as an Operating System

Why the next generation of brokerages won't be built by adding more technology — they'll be built by designing better systems.

By John Fischer, Founder, Pickup Studios
Filed from the brokerage frontier

PICKUP STUDIOS
PICKUP-STUDIOS.COM

A few years ago, if you asked a brokerage owner to describe their business, they probably would have started with people.

"We have 180 agents."
"We have three offices."
"We have a great leadership team."
"We're growing in these markets."

Those are all reasonable answers. But lately I've started asking a different question. Instead of asking leaders to describe their company, I ask them to describe how it works.

The conversation usually changes.

Someone begins talking about the CRM.
Then recruiting.
Then transaction management.
Then marketing.
Then accounting.
Then AI.
Then the website.
Then the title company.
Then training.
Then compliance.
Then email.
Then the phone system.

Eventually we're standing in front of a whiteboard covered with arrows connecting dozens of systems and processes that somehow produce a functioning brokerage. That's when I usually realize we're no longer talking about a company. We're talking about an operating system.

§

What an Operating System Actually Means

When most people hear the phrase operating system, they think about software — Windows, macOS, iOS, Android. That's not what I mean.

An operating system is simply the set of rules that allows hundreds of different activities to work together without constant intervention. It decides where information goes, who needs to see it, what happens next, what gets prioritized, and what happens when something breaks.

Every brokerage already has one. Some are intentional. Most evolved over time.

§

How Most Brokerages Actually Got Built

Walk into almost any brokerage that's been around for more than ten years and you'll find the same thing. Very few systems were designed all at once. They're accumulated.

A CRM was added because recruiting became difficult. A transaction platform was added because paperwork became overwhelming. A marketing platform arrived later. Then electronic signatures. Then accounting software. Then showing technology. Then AI tools.

Each decision made sense at the time. Very few people stopped to ask what all of those decisions were creating together.

One of the unintended consequences of modern software is that it's become incredibly easy to solve individual problems. Need a better scheduler? There's software. Need marketing automation? There's software. Need AI note-taking?

There's software. Recruiting? Compliance? Document review? Training? The industry has become remarkably good at purchasing solutions. It has become much less disciplined about designing systems.

There's a difference.

> *Imagine buying furniture one piece at a time over twenty years. Every chair might be beautiful. Every table might be well made. Eventually you walk into the room and realize none of it belongs together.*

That's how many technology stacks feel today. No single decision was wrong. Nobody ever stepped back and designed the room.

§

AI Is Making the Problem More Visible

Every week another company introduces an AI assistant capable of saving time. Most of them probably do. But every new capability also creates another decision. Where does it fit? Who owns it? What information should it access? What process changes because it now exists? What can finally be eliminated?

Those questions rarely get asked during software demonstrations. They're operating system questions.

§

The Case for Product Management Inside Brokerages

This is one of the reasons I've become increasingly interested in product management inside brokerages. Not because brokerages need another executive title. Because someone needs to think horizontally across the organization instead of vertically inside one department.

Marketing naturally optimizes marketing. Operations optimize operations. Recruiting optimizes recruiting. Finance optimizes finance. Someone has to ask whether the organization itself is becoming easier — or harder — to operate. That's a different perspective.

§

Removing Friction, Not Just Adding Technology

The companies I find most interesting aren't necessarily the ones adopting technology the fastest. They're the ones removing friction.

Sometimes the answer is software.
Sometimes it's deleting software.
Sometimes it's rewriting a policy.
Sometimes it's eliminating an approval.
Sometimes it's changing who owns a decision.

> *Technology often gets the credit. In reality, someone redesigned the system.*

§

How We Actually Start an Innovation Blueprint

When we begin an Innovation Blueprint at Pickup Studios, people often expect us to start with technology. We almost never do.

Instead, we spend time understanding how decisions move through the company. How information moves. Where work slows down. Where people compensate for broken processes. Where the organization depends on institutional knowledge instead of documented systems. Only then do we begin talking about technology.

> *Technology doesn't create a better operating system. It simply amplifies the one you already have.*

If your organization is thoughtfully designed, software accelerates it. If it isn't, software accelerates confusion.

§

A New Way to Measure a Brokerage

I suspect that, over the next decade, we'll stop evaluating brokerages primarily by the size of their agent count. We'll pay much closer attention to something less visible.

How quickly can they adapt?
How easily can they introduce new capabilities?
How difficult is it to train someone?
How many handoffs exist before something gets done?
How much institutional knowledge disappears when one employee leaves?

Those questions reveal far more about the health of an organization than the number of offices on its website.

§

The Next Chapter Is Organizational Design

I've started thinking that brokerages are entering a new phase. For years, they competed on relationships. Then they competed on technology. The next chapter may be about organizational design.

The firms that win won't necessarily have the most software. Or the biggest budgets. Or the largest headcount. They'll have operating systems that allow good people to do their best work with less friction, better information, and clearer decisions.

From the outside, those companies will look remarkably efficient. From the inside, they'll probably feel surprisingly calm.

I don't think that's an accident. I think that's what thoughtful design looks like.

ABOUT THIS FIELD NOTE

Founders Field Notes are short essays from the operators behind Pickup Studios — observations from the brokerage frontier, written for leaders shaping what comes next.

SUBSCRIBE & SHARE

Subscribe at pickup-studios.com/field-notes. We bundle every three to four notes into a quarterly volume — print and PDF.